

MODELO

PED.013.03

Course	Human Resources Management						
Subject	Performance Appraisal and Careers						
Academic year	2023/2024	Curricular year	3rd	Study period	1st seme	ester	
Type of subject	Compulsory	Student workload (H)	Total: 196	Contact: 60	ECTS	7	
Professor(s)	PhD Maria José Andrade Pais Valente						
☑ Area/Group Coordinator☐ Head of Department		PhD Maria Manuela dos Santos Natário					

PLANNED SUBJECT DESCRIPTION

1. LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- **A.** Understand the performance **a**ppraisal as an instrument of encouragement and accountability of work;
- **B.** Identify the performance appraisal as the central component of performance management;
- **C.** Analyse critically performance appraisal, identifying positive and negative factors;
- **D.** Construct, simulating, a performance appraisal system.
- **E.** Appreciate the career expectations at the level of wages and the level of productivity.

2. PROGRAMME

- 1. Introduction
- **1.1.** Individual performance appraisal and organizational goals.
- **1.2.** Neoclassical economics *versus* behavioural economics *Gift Exchange, Ultimatum* e *Public Goods Games*.
- 1.3. Principal-Agent Problem
- 2. Performance Appraisal process
- 2.1. Performance Management Cycle
- 2.2. The most common Appraisal Error
- 2.3. The design of evaluation systems
- 3. Feedback and appraisal
- **3.1.** Feedback and better performance improvements
- 3.2. Design a feedback scheme
- 3.3. Training plan: Coaching
- **4.** Performance Appraisal and the others human resources practices
- 4.1. Development action plans a link to the corporate and business plan—Learning Organizations



MODELO

PED.013.03

- **4.2.** The reward schemes
- 4.3. Individual and organizational performance appraisal system
- 5. Organization Appraisal Models: Public Administration/Teachers/Polices/Enterprises
- **5.** Career Planning/Management
- **5.1.** "Traditional" versus "New" Career Development
- **5.2.** Traditional anchors careers and new tools ICCS Intelligent-Career-Card-Selection.
- 5.3. Career action plan

3. COHERENCE BETWEEN PROGRAMME AND OBJECTIVES

Goals (1)	Contents
A	1 - 4.2 - 4.3
В	4-2-3
С	2 – 3 - 4
D	2 – 3 - 4
Е	5

(1) The achievement of the objectives implies the completeness of the program planned for the SD. The table, so just, establishes a directly relationship of causality.

4. MAIN BIBLIOGRAPHY

Caetano, A. (2008). Avaliação de desempenho: metáforas, conceitos e práticas. Lisboa: RH Editora.

Bolton, P. e Dewatripont M. (2005). *Contract theory*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Deblieux, M. (2003). *Performance appraisal source book: A collection of practical samples*. Alexandria: Society for Human Resource Management

Holmstrom, B. e Milgrom, P. (1991). Multitask Principal-Agent Analyses: Incentive Contracts, Asset Ownership, and Job Design. Journal of Law, Economics and Organization, 7: 24-52.

Laffont, J.-J. e Martimort, D.(2002). The Theory of Incentives: The Principal-Agent Model. Princeton, Princeton University Press

Lazear, E., e Gibbs, M. (2014). Personnel Economics in Pratice. Wiley (3rd Edition)

Murphy, K. and Cleveland, J. (1995). Understanding Performance Appraisal: Social, Organizational And Goal-Based Perspectives. London: Sage.

Valente, M.J. (2012) Apontamentos de ADGC, edição atualizada.



MODELO

PED.013.03

5. TEACHING METHODOLOGIES (INCLUDING EVALUATION)

Realization of:

- (1) Frequency and/or exams test;
- (2) Practical work in classroom (optional);
- (3) Work on a performance evaluation system (compulsory)

The classification concerning the final evaluation will be the arithmetic mean of:

- (i) 70% Frequency and/or exams test + 30% arithmetic mean of Practical work, if the student has opted for the conduct;
- (ii) Work on a performance evaluation system.

The minimum value of any sort of assessment tests will be **7,5** (seven, five), to permit exemption from examination and/or approval. Approval requires an arithmetic mean of the results obtained in, (i) and (ii) greater than or equal to **9,5**.

Note: the non-achievement of practical work (optional) implies a 100% weighting in the frequencies and/or examination.

6. COHERENCE BETWEEN TEACHING METHODOLOGIES AND OBJECTIVES

Teaching methodology (1)	Objectives
Lesson expository / Interactive lesson	A - B - C - E - D
Individual research / Group work	D
Problem solving/Case study	A - B - C - E

(1) The order of objectives illustrates the relevance of the methodology for the achievement of those, on a linear approach.

7. ATTENDANCE

Indexed to the work carried out in the classes

8. CONTACTS AND OFFICE HOURS

mjvalente@ipg.pt Office 51

Wednesday: 14h - 16:30 hours Thursday: 10h – 11:30 hours

9. OTHERS



MODELO

PED.013.03

DATE

5 de outubro de 2023

SIGNATURES

Professor(s), Area/Group Coordinator or Head of Department signatures

Professor	
Maria José Andrade Pais Valente	
(signature)	
Assinatura na qualidade de (clicar)	
(signature)	